

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor:

Steven D. Potter

Art Unit:

3618

Application #:

09/832,644

Examiner:

Jeffrey J. Restico

Filed:

4/11/2001

Confirmation #: 1632

Title:

Balancing Skateboard

FAX RECEIVED

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, DC 20231

JAN 27 2003

RESPONSE TO FINAL ACTION MAILED 10/29/2002

**GROUP 3600** 

# **Election of Species**

Applicant re-affirms the election of species A without traverse to prosecute claims 14-17, 19-22, and 24-26. Claim 14 is generic.

Responsive to the Official Action mailed 5/7/2002, Applicant makes no changes to the specification or the claims.

### REMARKS

#### The Office Action

Claims 14-17, 19-22, and 24-25 were examined. Claim 14-17, 24 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yu (5,428,022) in further view of Namiki (6,428,022). Claims 19-22 stand rejected as being unpatentable over Yu and Namiki in further view of Sheldon (4,082,306).

#### 35 U.S.C. 103

In the following text, Applicant will make the following arguments:

- 1. The Namiki reference is inadmissible since its first publication does not predate the present invention.
- 2. With or without the Namiki reference, the present invention meets the historic standard for non-obviousness in this class of art.
- Even if Namiki were admissible, his invention does not allow independent control of the front and rear wheels. Nor is there any other